

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,936	10/01/2003	Vincent A. White	GP-302531	GP-302531 7848	
7:	590 02/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES			NGUYEN, TU MINH		
General Motors Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 P.O. Box 300			3748	TAFER NOMBER	
Detroit, MI 4	8265-3000		DATE MAILED: 02/16/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

olicant(s)		
WHITE ET AL.		
Unit		
8		

	Tu M. Nguyen	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	to ovtension fee		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in pro-		ll be entered <del>and an c</del>	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 9-17.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.		
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13.  Other:	•	Tu M. No 2/10/06	juyen		
		2/10/06	u (J		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As pointed out in the final rejection mailed on October 19, 2005, since the oxygen sensor (15) in Ito et al. exhibits the same oscillatory behavior as that in the pending application (see Figure 9 in Ito et al. and Figure 28 in the pending application), it is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the oxygen sensor (15) in Ito et al. is a discrete switching oxygen sensor that exhibits an output of on or off based upon an oxygen content in the exhaust stream.